
2

3

2021 21 “

yti U R55^ BtuÓ

164

6

1.

“

” 7

“

” 8

“

” 9

3

88

54

117

62

63

2.

80% 10

Robert Jackson “

6

2016

0105

442

“

7

”

2008

476

8

—

2010

2

9

1993

12–13

10 “

80%

”

2017

8

19

5

80%

46

” 11

12

3.

“ ”

ATM

17

“ ”

“

” 13

14

“

” 15

“

”

“ ”

“

”

ATM

“ ”

“ ”

11	Brown v. Allen, 244 U.S. 443 (1953), Justice Jackson, concurring opinion			
12	—	2000		264
13		2017	52	
14		2013	3	46
15		2019	34	34

”

1.

“

” 21

264

“

”

“

”

“

”

“

”

“

”

“

” 23

“

” 24

Hart

“ ” 25

“ ”

26

“ ”

27

“ ” “ ”

3.

28

23	J.H. ·	—				2004	1
143							
24	J.H. ·	—				2004	1
146							
25	—			1997		139	
26	—			1997		139–140	
27				2019	4	11	8
28			2022			14	

4.

“ ”

“

‘ ’

” 30

“

4 800 ” 31

2022 6

292

32

1.8 /

20

“

” “

”

29 “ ” 2022 11 6 https://mp.weixin.qq.com/s/dkXulo_7UkLml_
htKymBDw
30 2 1994 147
31 2011 6 11
32 “ ” 9 2009 8

1.

33

f

” 39

” “

“

”

“

”

“

40

“ ”

“ ”

47 “ ” “ ”

” “ ”

48

1.

49

50

30

51

52

47 — 6 2018 5

26

48 2023 1 74

49 Oliver Wendell Holmes, *The common Law*, Brown and Company, 1923, p. 1.

50 — 2010 68

51 2015 7

52 2 660 97.85%

34.37% 1.32% 90.17%

— 2 660 2015 4 195

53

“ ”

ATM

“

” 54

2.

55

“

53

54

2017

55

“ 1

” 77

” _____ “

2000

3

255-259

2009

143

” 56

3.

“ ”

“ ” “ ” “ ”

“ ” 57 “ ”

“ ”

“ ”

“ ”

“ ”

20 80

60

“ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ”

61

“ ”

“ ”

62

60

1998

2012

61

2021

125

62

“ ”

9

2009

11

63

“ ”

“ ”

“

”

“

”

“

”

“

”

“

”

”

67

“

”

68

69

70

67

2018

3

68

2009

5

45

69

2013

6

134

70

2019

222

59

“

” 71

72

“ ”

73

74

“ ” “ ”

75

71	1988	305				
72			2017	7	19	8
73			2017	7	19	8
74						2019 4
49						
75	22	“				
		”				
60						

HU Changming

When judges make judgments, they lack the meaning of legal norms and the consideration of legislative intent, rigidly apply legal provisions, and make judicial judgments that deviate from social reality or public cognition, which is mechanical justice. In recent years, the mechanical judicial phenomenon becomes a common occurrence, especially in the difficult and complicated cases, first instance procedure, and the course of criminal proceedings. The abstractness, fuzziness and hysteresis of law itself, the excessively detailed judicial interpretation, as well as the excessive emphasis on the formalistic judicial view that restricts judges' discretion, the lack of life experience of judges themselves, the lack of judicial interpretation ability and the avoidance of responsibility for avoiding disadvantages are the main reasons leading to the emergence of mechanical justice. Only by strengthening the concept of active justice, improving judges' ability, establishing and perfecting the system of exemption from judges' responsibility and perfecting the system of jurors, can we overcome the obstinacy of mechanical justice and seek a balance between formalistic justice and realistic justice.

Mechanical Justice; Judicial Activism; Judicial Formalism; Judicial Realism; Discretion; Theory of Judge Behavior